Association of Emergency Index Score and Patient Family Experience in the Pediatric Emergency Department Callie Krentz, MD; Abigail Gauthier, MD; Adam Vukovic, MD, MEd; Nusrat Harun, PhD; Stephen Porter, MDm MSc

Background

- The Emergency Severity Index (ESI) system, is a validated 5-level triage scale that applies a clinical assessment to the patient and assigns a triage level 1 to 5
- Patient Family Experience (PFE) surveys are frequently given after PED visits asking families to rate their experience
- PFE scores have been shown to be inversely related to wait times and door-to-room times

Study Aims

 Determine the relationship between a patient's ESI score and their or their family's response to the key performance indicator (KPI) question on the post-visit PFE survey.

Methods

- Retrospective cohort study of patient encounters resulting in discharge from two PEDs between July 1, 2021, and June 30, 2022
- All encounters for patients <21 years of age who were treated in and discharged from either of our PEDs with a completed PFE survey after the visit were eligible for inclusion.
- Univariate analysis was performed on all candidate variables
- Multivariable logistic regression determined independent associations with KPI

Se

ma

Im

Univariate Association of PFE Survey Responses and Specific Aspects of Clinical Care With Facility Rating on PFE Survey

Results

PFE or Clinical Aspect	How would you rate our facility? (mean [SD], N)	р		
le male	8.5 (2.5), 4130 8.4 (2.6), 4006	0.035		
ce Asian Black	8.2 (2.7), 245 8.4 (2.6), 1317	< 0.0001		
Middle Eastern	8.8 (2.6), 42		Clinical aspect	
Multiple	8.5 (2.6), 329		Sex	
Other	9.1 (1.8), 96		Male	
Preferred Category Not ilable	9.3 (1.7), 496 8.8 (2.3), 227		Female	_
Inknown	8.4 (2.5), 5383		Race	
hite			Asian	-(
			Black	-0
nicity			Middle Eastern	0.3
lispanic	9.1 (2.0), 895	< 0.0001		0.05
Ion-Hispanic	8.4 (2.6), 7153		Other	0.03
Refused/Unknown	8.4 (2.6), 87			
v likely would you be to			Preferred	0.5
ommend our emergency			category not	
artment to friends or family?	4.3 (2.8), 1526	< 0.0001	available	0.3
7-8	8.0 (1.1), 992		Unknown	0
-10	9.7 (0.8), 5618		White	
			Ethnicity	
			Hispanic	0.5
	9.5 (0.8), 6	< 0.0001		-0.1
	8.8 (2.2), 1732		Refused/Unknown	0
	8.3 (2.7), 3505		Non-Hispanic	
	8.5 (2.5), 2508		ESI	0 -
	8.8 (2.3), 381		1	0.5
child was seen in a timely			2	0.07
ner.	9.1 (1.8), 6605	< 0.0001	3	-0.05
es	5.7 (3.2), 1529		4	-0.07
)			5	0
scription written			Prescription	80.0
es	8.5 (2.4), 3068	0.83	written	
Ιο	8.4 (2.6), 5068		Labs ordered	-0.1
s ordered			Imaging ordered	0.1
′es	8.4 (2.6), 2935	0.043	Procedure	0.3
lo	8.5 (2.5), 5201		performed	
ging ordered			Time to provider	-0.00
/es	8.5 (2.5), 2716	0.84	Length of stay	-0.00
0	8.4 (2.5), 5420			
edure performed		0.55	Multivariable Regressio	
es	8.5 (2.4), 1324	0.55	Predicting KPI Respons	
0	8.5 (2.5), 6812			



Results

- 8136 patients included in the study • We found univariate associations
- between ESI scores and KPI ESI levels were significantly associated
- with the KPI response, with higher acuity patients (ESI 1 and 2) providing more positive responses than lower acuity patients (ESI 3 and 4)
- Both time to provider and length of stay demonstrated statistically significant negative associations with the KPI question on our PFE survey
- Prescribing medications or performing labs and imaging did not likely lead to higher KPI scores
- Procedure performance has an independent association with PFE
- Patients and families who identified as Hispanic race responded more positively to the KPI question in the PFE survey

Conclusion

- Patients and families experiencing shorter wait times, time to providers, and those in whom a procedure was performed are likely to have a more positive experience during their visit
- ESI triage score is not an independent predictor of experience
- ESI triage score is associated with wait times with higher tirages levels having longer wait times

Future Directions

 ESI is not independently associated with PFE in this study, its interaction with factors such as time to provider, length of stay, and procedure performance are important for providers creating interventions to impact experience during low acuity visits.